Review of Bachelor's Thesis

Student: Vašš Adam

Title: Topic Identification from Spoken TED-Talks (id 21519)

Reviewer: Ondel Lucas, Mgr., UPGM FIT VUT

1. Assignment complexity

more demanding assignment

The difficulty resides mostly in the use of the speech recognition toolkit (Kaldi).

2. Completeness of assignment requirements

student reasonably departed from the assignment

The student has successfully built an ASR system and a topic identification system.

3. Length of technical report

almost in minimum requirements

The thesis is in "draft state" and obviously not finished.

4. Presentation level of technical report

50 p. (E)

The thesis is correctly structured but the student presents a patchwork of pieces of information without really connecting them. Importantly, some of the statements are wrong showing a lack of understanding in key aspects of the ASR pipeline.

5. Formal aspects of technical report

40 p. (F)

The thesis is not finished and has many grammatical mistakes and remaining "todos" statements.

6. Literature usage

60 p. (D)

The literature is decent and appropriately used in the text. However, some parts of the thesis require more citations.

7. Implementation results

70 p. (C)

The student has used the state of the art system for both ASR and TID. Also, despite being unfinished, the technical report gives a clear overview of the main components of the systems.

8. Utilizability of results

This work uses several state of the art techniques and apply them on a publicly available dataset. Despite having no novelty, this work is interesting as it could serve as a baseline for research in topic identification of spoken documents.

9. Questions for defence

- * How to describe in a few sentences the main components of an ASR system?
- * How to analyze the results of the topic identification system? Is there any comparable results already published on similar corpus?

10. Total assessment

60 p. satisfactory (D)

The student has successfully built relatively complex ASR and TID systems. The poor quality of the technical report prevents him to have a better grade.

In Brno 30. May 2019	
	signature

1/1